

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/716,306 11/18/2003		Chia Yung Cheng	2003B123	6939			
23455	23455 7590 10/24/2006				EXAMINER		
EXXONM	OBIL	CHEMICAL CON	TORRES VELAZQUEZ, NORCA LIZ				
5200 BAYV	VAY D	RIVE					
P.O. BOX 2	149			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
BAYTOWN, TX 77522-2149				1771			
				DATE MAILED: 10/24/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/716,306	CHENG ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Norca L. Torres-Velazquez	1771

		Noica E. Torres-Verazquez	1771	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
ГНЕ	REPLY FILED 28 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o se with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a)	$\square$ The period for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the mailing date			
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
	TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	• •		
nave unde set fo may	nsions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extended from the expiration date of the south in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) TICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. [	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed NDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, l	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause.
٥. ட	(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			ecause
	(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		The bolowy,	
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	. , ,	the issues for
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. 🗌	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	<ol><li>See attached Notice of Non-Co</li></ol>	impliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. 🛭	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. [	non-allowable claim(s).		•	J
7	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an o	explanation of
	Claim(s) objected to:	•		
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-71 and 89-115</u> .			
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>72-88</u> .			
	IDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	A bafana a sa Aba dan af filia a Ab		
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and
9. [	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to conshowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10.	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio			
	QUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
	∑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>		n condition for allowa	nce because:
	☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
13.	☐ Other:		Norca L. Torres-Ve Primary Examiner	elazquez
			Art Unit: 1771	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): obviousness-type double patenting over copending application no. 10/969,489.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: fibers such as those produced by the primary reference of TIMMONS are considered to be produced by injection molding and the Examiner does not agree with Applicants conclusion that the properties of Datta would teach away from the invention of Timmons. It is the Examiner's position that both references use polymers with narrow MWD and the Examiner is substituting the novel composition of Datta for the polymer of Timmons to provide the material with increased tensile strength and improved process characteristics disclosed by Datta..